

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/623,210	07/18/2003	T. Liam Leahy	101007.0003US1	2850
²⁴³⁹² FISH & ASSO	7590 09/19/2007 CIATES, PC		EXAM	INER
ROBERT D. F	ISH		LEE, CH	łi ho a
2603 Main Stre Suite 1050	eet		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Irvine, CA 926	14-6232		2616	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/19/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
		10/623,210	LEAHY ET AL.		
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit		
		Andrew Lee	2616		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the	he correspondence address		
WHI(- Exte after - If NO - Failu Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATES and the may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 or SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Or period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period vure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS, cause the application to become ABAND	TON. De timely filed from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status					
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 03 Ju	<i>ıly 2007</i> .			
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.				
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11	, 453 O.G. 213.		
Disposit	ion of Claims				
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	Claim(s) 1-14 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw Claim(s) is/are allowed. Claim(s) 1-14 is/are rejected. Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.			
Applicat	ion Papers				
9)□	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.			
10)	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce	epted or b) objected to by t	he Examiner.		
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the	= : :			
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	• • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • • •	•		
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. § 119				
а)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1 Certified copies of the priority documents 2 Certified copies of the priority documents 3 Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Appli rity documents have been rec u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	cation No eived in this National Stage		
Attachmen	nt(s) ce of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Sumn	nary (PTO-413)		
2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) rmation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Ma	ail Date nal Patent Application		

Application/Control Number: 10/623,210

Art Unit: 2616

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

1. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

2. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

Re Claims 1-12, the body of the claimed invention does not fall within at least one of the four categories of patent eligible subject matter recited in 35 U.S.C. 101 (process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter). The body of the claim refers to detail of the logical packet.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 4. Claims 1-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims refer to a system, however the bodies of the claim lack structure/hardware.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

Application/Control Number: 10/623,210 Page 3

Art Unit: 2616

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

6. Claims 1-10, 13, 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Bellovin PG-PUB 2001/0034844 A1.

Re Claims 1, 5, 13, and 14, Bellovin teaches a capability of assigning multiples addresses to a single host to improve the firewall in a packet switched network (See abstract). Fig. 1 teaches a Host 121 receiving multiple addresses (address a first packet....a first destination identifier; address a second packet....a second destination identifier) wherein the packets are received by the same host (same destination; common destination), wherein the Host 121 enables/causes the packets to be transmitted [0011].

Re Claims 2, 6, refer to Claim 1, wherein the packets are IP.

Re Claims 3, 4 7, 8, refer to Claim 1, wherein the Hosts 151-153 transmits the IP packets associated with different source identifiers.

Re Claim 9, refer to Claim 1, wherein the file/message are transmitted via packets.

Re Claim 10, refer to Claim 1, wherein the IP packet includes in the header a source and destination identifiers.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

Application/Control Number: 10/623,210

Art Unit: 2616

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

- (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 8. Claims 11, 12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over i Bellovin PG-PUB 2001/0034844 A1 in view of PG-Pub 20020133496 A1.

Re Claims 11, 12, Bellovin fails to explicitly teach sending dummy packets in the network of Bellovin. However, PG-Pub 20020133496 teaches transmitting dummy packets to determine the performance of the network. One skilled in the art would have been motivated transmit dummy packets determine the performance of the network. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one ordinary skilled to combine the references.

Response to Arguments

9. Applicant's arguments filed 7/3/07 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Re USC 101, 112, Applicant argues that claims 1-12 recite a system includes hardware and software whereby hardware is machine and software is process.

Examiner agrees that the system can includes both hardware and software but as claimed, it is unclear whether the claimed invention is directed to a machine with hardware or a process steps with software.

The body of the claims lack structure and hardware to meet the statutory subject matter machine.

Application/Control Number: 10/623,210

Art Unit: 2616

The body of the claims lacks positive recitation to meet the statutory subject matter of process.

At most, the body of the claim recite "address a first packet...with destination identifier" for routing packets with is not statutory subject matter.

Re USC 102, Applicant argues "different packets of a single stream, (i.e., a single ordered set of packets). However, this limitation is not claimed.

Conclusion

10. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-3130. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday from 8:30AM to 6:00PM.

Art Unit: 2616

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Firman Backer can be reached on 571-272-6703. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

ANDREW OLEE PRIMARY PATENT EXAMINER